

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/014,977		12/10/2001	Michael Evan Webber	260/289 3321		
34026	7590	01/27/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES I		TREET, SUITE 4600	NASSER, ROBERT L			
		A 90013-1025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ			3736	10	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	10/014,977	WEBBER, MICHAEL E	EVAN
1		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert L. Nasser	3736	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s
Therefore final rejudent conditions	PLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE ore, further action by the applicant is required to avection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to th places the application	a n in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
b) 📙	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.	
fee have fee under (2) as set	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cereb.	of extension and the corresponding ame the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offi	iate extension ce action; or
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> . A Torn 7 (1974), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)	• •	•	ı in
2. T	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
` ,	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note beginning)	·		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simpl	lifying the
` ,	they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:			
3. <b>X</b> A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): rejection under 35 u.s	i.CBIIZ, and persyriph	<b>, ⊸</b>
4. N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed am	nendment
	he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	lace the
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	ewly
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			l an
٦	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,4-6,8-11,13,14,17-22,24-26,29-3</u>	<u>4,36,37 and 39-42</u> .		
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. 🔲 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examine	r.
9. 🔲 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTQ-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10.🖂	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		ROBERT L. NASSER	
			PRIMARY EXAMINER ROBUTS VESSEL	
			Robert L. Nasser	
-			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3736	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 10. Other: applicant's arguments state that an appeal brief was filed with the amnedment. However, no appeal brief was received. .